

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,471		03/24/2000	Kenneth A. Parulski	80724PRC	3331
1333	7590	7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER	
PATENT L EASTMAN					
343 STATE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCHESTE	R, NY	14650-2201			

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application: 09/534,471 Paper No. 20060525

Art Unit: 3627 Page 1

OFFICE ACTION

Notice of Defective Appeal Brief

- 1. The revised Appeal Brief, filed on September 12, 2003, remains defective for at least the following reason(s):
 - I. The brief includes a statement that claims 1-6 and 8-16 (Groups II-V) do not stand or fall together, but fails to present valid reasons in support thereof as required under 37 CFR § 1.192(c)(7). See MPEP § 1206.
- 2. Regarding Item I, above, concerning appellant's grouping of claims, appellant's statement in the brief that certain claims do not stand or fall together is not agreed with because appellant fails to offer any explanation as to why the claims of each group are believed to be separately patentable, in the manner required by 37 CFR § 1.192(c)(7), which states:
 - (7) Grouping of claims. For each ground of rejection which appellant contests and which applies to a group of two or more claims, the Board shall select a single claim from the group and shall decide the appeal as to the ground of rejection on the basis of that claim alone unless a statement is included that the claims of the group do not stand or fall together and, in the argument under paragraph (c)(8) of this section, appellant explains why the claims of the group are believed to be separately patentable. Merely pointing out differences in what the claims cover is not an argument as to why the claims are separately patentable.

Appellant's arguments merely point out differences in the claims, which is *not* an argument as to why the claims are *separately patentable*, as explicitly set forth by rule in 37 CFR § 1.192(c)(7).

Application: 09/534,471 Paper No. 20060525

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

3. Therefore, for purposes of appeal, claims 1-6 and 8-16 should all stand or fall together as a single group (Group II), since appellant's brief does not include *both* a statement that these claims do not stand or fall together *and* valid reasons in support thereof.

4. Applicant is given a time period of **ONE** (1) **MONTH or THIRTY** (30) **DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the required omission or correction, in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6787, and whose facsimile number is (571) 273-6787.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alexander Kalinowski, can be reached at (571) 272-6771.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (571) 273-8300. Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450."

Application: 09/534,471 Paper No. 20060525

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

May 25, 2006

Gerald J. O'Connor

5/25/06

Primary Examiner

Group Art Unit 3627